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1. DOES THE WG WORKPLAN RESPOND TO THE OBJECTIVES SET IN THE NETWORK WORKPLAN INCLUDING ON DEVELOPING THE NETWORK’S VOICE?

The WG co-leads explained how the workplan emphasized the following three key priorities/areas of work:

1) To analyse return and reintegration initiatives in pilot countries;
2) To develop a tool to pilot at country level which can be used beyond the WG timeframe;
3) To organize workshops and conferences in pilot areas.

YES  X  NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Suggested to ensure links to other working groups, in particular to the working group on alternatives to detention.

Recommendation to ensure sufficient depth in the formulation of a Network common position on return and reintegration and to consider how applicable it may be to operational realities.

2. IS THE PROPOSED COMPOSITION OF THE WG INCLUSIVE, BALANCED YET AGILE ENOUGH TO ACHIEVE RESULTS WITHIN THE LIMITED TIMEFRAME?

YES  X  NO

The working group could consider reaching out to UN peace and security actors requesting for their engagement in the working group.
3. ARE DELIVERABLES CONCRETE, REALISTIC AND TIME-BOUND?

YES  X  NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

4. DOES THE WG WORKPLAN INCORPORATE THE WORKING PRINCIPLES OF THE NETWORK?

YES  X  NO

Suggestion to further elaborate on some of the guiding principles of the GCM with operational implications, and in particular on how gender-responsive and child-sensitive approaches will be incorporated in the deliverables outlined.

5. IS IT CLEAR HOW THE WG WILL ENGAGE WITH MEMBER STATES?

YES  X  NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

GENERAL REMARKS ON THE QUARTERLY REVIEW PERIOD ASSESSED:

The WG approach to the workplan was validated by the EC with a request to incorporate specific recommendations as noted above.