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1. DOES THE WG WORKPLAN RESPOND TO THE OBJECTIVES SET IN THE NETWORK WORKPLAN INCLUDING ON DEVELOPING THE NETWORK’S VOICE?

YES x NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The WG explained in detail how the WG Workplan derives everything from the global workplan including the exact language used.

2. IS THE PROPOSED COMPOSITION OF THE WG INCLUSIVE, BALANCED YET Agile enough to achieve results within the limited timeframe?

YES x NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The WG explained in full detail that the membership is inclusive and includes stakeholders, civil society, UN system and that members have been fully engaged not only in the meetings, but even when it comes to contributions to man-kind resources. Some of the civil society members are based in the field and at regional level and they are participating in the questionnaire, the stocktaking as well as the policy guidance that should be produced in the timeframe set in the workplan that was shared.

3. ARE DELIVERABLES CONCRETE, REALISTIC AND TIME-BOUND?

YES x NO
RECOMMENDATIONS:

The WG explained in full detail the main deliverables that are realistic. These deliverables are:

1- Map existing practices for admission and stay based on compassionate, humanitarian, human rights or other considerations for migrants in vulnerable situations, including in situations of mixed movements. The WG explained that they will do this through a stocktaking exercise of existing tools which the WG has started working on already by drafting a questionnaire to solicit data; a draft of that is expected to be out in March.
2- Identify 2-3 good practices to assist in the development of guidelines and tools to MS.
3- Identify one or two migration corridors to pilot test best practices guidelines and to share a draft policy guidance with MS. The WG already planned for a multi-stakeholder workshop to promote good practices and to roll out the policy guidelines

4. DOES THE WG WORKPLAN INCORPORATE THE WORKING PRINCIPLES OF THE NETWORK?

YES x NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

There were no comments on that and the WG explained how they incorporated the working principles as the global Network TORs.

5. IS IT CLEAR HOW THE WG WILL ENGAGE WITH MEMBER STATES?

YES x NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The WG indicated that they will clearly work with MS both for the purpose of the questionnaire for the stocking exercise which has the full participation of MS but also for the purpose guidelines that will be discussed in the workshop.

GENERAL REMARKS ON THE QUARTERLY REVIEW PERIOD ASSESSED:

THIS SECTION INDICATES WHETHER THE EC VALIDATES THE WORKPLAN PUT FORWARD BY THE WG AND ADDRESSES GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS TO INCORPORATE IN THE FORTHCOMING REVIEW PERIOD.

The EC validates the workplan. No specific recommendations from the EC. Questions as to how WGs will engage with each other. Discussion on the importance of the secretariat’s role in ensuring consistency across all WGs. WG co-leaders indicated that they are confident they will meet the deadlines indicated in the timeline with the support of members who have expressed interest to assist both with resources and also with research tasks.