United Nations Network on Migration
Working Better Together

ECWL Ref: 2020/1 Annex 2 – TWG 6

Executive Committee Working Level

Working Group Reviews

TWG 6/Access to services

Working group title: Access to services.

Co-leads: WHO and UN Habitat

Validation/ Quarterly review date: 9 January 2020

Attachments: Workplan

---

1. DOES THE WG WORKPLAN RESPOND TO THE OBJECTIVES SET IN THE NETWORK WORKPLAN INCLUDING ON DEVELOPING THE NETWORK'S VOICE?

Discussion on the scope of basic services within the WG. Decision to focus on health, housing and other public services, education, and job/vocational training – in line with HR guidelines.

YES **x** NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Suggestion to follow the workplan template and to explicitly mention how the WG plans to contribute to CBM and to the Network's voice. [Some discussion currently underway on producing a statement on firewalls. Clarity requested, in particular, regarding the workplan proposal to 'develop a common online platform to collect best practices and tools' related to access to services, and how this will relate to/be incorporated into the CBM.

2. IS THE PROPOSED COMPOSITION OF THE WG INCLUSIVE, BALANCED YET AGILE ENOUGH TO ACHIEVE RESULTS WITHIN THE LIMITED TIMEFRAME?

Full composition of the working group is FAO, IOM, ILO, OHCHR, PSI, UNAIDS, UCLG, UNDP, UNICEF, UNFPA, UN Women, PSI, UCLG, IFRC, Caritas, OECD, and PICUM.

YES **x** NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

3. ARE DELIVERABLES CONCRETE, REALISTIC AND TIME-BOUND?

YES **x** NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Suggestion to include education as pragmatic means to increase impact on access to services by migrants

4. DOES THE WG WORKPLAN INCORPORATE THE WORKING PRINCIPLES OF THE NETWORK?

YES **x** NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Working principles of the Network and guiding principles of the GCM are considered in deliverables- e.g methodology to identify good practices.

Suggestion to explicitly elaborate those for the whole WG workplan with particular focus on how to incorporate human rights-based, gender-responsive, and child-sensitive approaches in the deliverables.

5. IS IT CLEAR HOW THE WG WILL ENGAGE WITH MEMBER STATES?

YES **x** NO

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Suggestion to further elaborate on involvement and information sharing with Member States.

GENERAL REMARKS ON THE QUARTERLY REVIEW PERIOD ASSESSED:

THIS SECTION INDICATES WHETHER THE EC VALIDATES THE WORKPLAN PUT FORWARD BY THE WG AND ADDRESSES GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS TO INCORPORATE IN THE FORTHCOMING REVIEW PERIOD.

The WG approach to the workplan was validated by the EC with a request to incorporate specific recommendations as noted above.

The co-leads noted the need to receive more clarity on pilot countries which will allow the WG make progress on some deliverables.